

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/899,297	07/06/2001	Makoto Yoshida	033211-010	7675
7590 04/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Ellen Marcie Emas			MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R	
BURNS, DOAN	IE, SWECKER & MATI	HIS, L.L.P.	(
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			2627	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/04/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/899,297	YOSHIDA ET AL.			
omoc Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Christopher R. Magee	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3,6-9 and 12-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-9 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the constr	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/899,297 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. The reply filed 03/13/2006 was applied to the following effect: All relevant objections and rejections are withdrawn as being satisfied.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koshikawa (JP 03-162705; English machine translation, publication date 7/12/1991) in view of Iizuka (JP 2000-057534; English machine translation, publication date 2/25/2000).
 - Regarding claims 1-3 and 9, Koshikawa teaches a thin-film magnetic head comprising:

Art Unit: 2627

an inductive write head element including an upper core layer with a front end section magnetically coupling with an upper magnetic pole [16], a lower core layer with a front end section magnetically coil conductor [15] formed coupling with a lower magnetic pole [12], a coil conductor [15] formed to pass between said upper core layer and said lower core layer, and an coil insulation layer [14] for sandwiching said coil conductor; and

at least one thermal diffusion layer [21] with a good thermal conductivity in contact with said coil insulation layer [14] at an outside region of said upper core layer, said at least one thermal diffusion layer being in contact with a part of said coil conductor or constituting a part of said coil conductor, wherein no protection layer is present on the thermal diffusion layer [Fig. 1].

Koshikawa does not show a thin coating film formed on said thermal diffusion layer made of a material selected from Ti, Cr, Ta, Ni, Fe, Coe Au, Pt, Rh and Ru, or an alloy containing at least Ti, Cr, Ta, Ni, Fe or Co.

Iizuka discloses using a thin coating film [i.e., upper shielding 14] formed on said thermal diffusion layer [i.e., heat dispersion layer 16] made of a material selected from Ti, Cr, Ta, Ni, Fe, Coe Au, Pt, Rh and Ru, or an alloy containing at least Ti, Cr, Ta, Ni, Fe or Co. [section 0030; Figure 2].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the thermal diffusion layer of Koshikawa with a thin coating film as taught by Iizuka.

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide the thermal diffusion layer of Koshikawa with a thin

coating film as taught by Iizuka in order to provide good distribution of the heat generated by the sensor [Iizuka; section 0030].

• Referring to claims 6, 7, 12 and 13, Koshikawa shows all the features, *supra*, except at least one thermal diffusion layer is made of a material with a thermal conductivity higher or lower than that of Al₂O₃.

Further regarding claims 8 and 14, Koshikawa shows all the features, *supra*, except at least one thermal diffusion layer is made of a material selected from Au, Ag, Is, Zn, Al, Ir, Cd, Sb, W, Ta, Fe, Pb, Ni, Pt, Pd, Mg and Mo, or an alloy containing at least one of Au, Ag, Is, Zn, Al, Ir, Cd, Sb, W, Ta, Fe, Pb, Ni, Pt, Pd, Mg and Mo.

Iizuka discloses at least one thermal diffusion layer is made of a material selected from Au, Ag, Is, Zn, Al, Ir, Cd, Sb, W, Ta, Fe, Pb, Ni, Pt, Pd, Mg and Mo, or an alloy containing at least one of Au, Ag, Is, Zn, Al, Ir, Cd, Sb, W, Ta, Fe, Pb, Ni, Pt, Pd, Mg and Mo [section 0020].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the thermal diffusion layer of Koshikawa with a material as taught by Iizuka.

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide the thermal diffusion layer of Koshikawa with a material as taught by Iizuka in order to provide good distribution of the heat generated by the sensor [Iizuka; section 0030].

Application/Control Number: 09/899,297 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure: Kondo et al. (JP 09-167314) is cited to show a thin-film magnetic head provided

with a heat radiation plate.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Magee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8: 00 am-4: 30 pm.

7. PLEASE NOTE the recent change in art unit designation from art unit 2653 to art unit

2627.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2627

March 30, 2006 crm

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600